Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/389,201	BABKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth Tang	2195
Il Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Kenneth Tang</u> .	(3)	
(2) Kelly Kordzik (Reg. No. 36,571).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 May 2006	Time: <u>3pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Proposed Amendment.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 9, 13, 20-22, 24, 27, 30, 33, 35, and 37		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend the claims according to the Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CONTER 2100		
Kenneth In		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)